UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,763	10/31/2003	Michael J. Botich	1032-P01404US3	2804
110 7590 05/31/2007 DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			EXAMINER	
			STIGELL, THEODORE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A-4' Occurrence	10/698,763	BOTICH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Theodore J. Stigell	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1986). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<u>-</u>	-
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowéd.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(e)		·
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application
. apor 110(0)/111aii 0 ato	<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/698,763

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A drawn to Figures 1-4

Species B drawn to Figures 5-6

Species C drawn to Figures 7-9

Species D drawn to Figures 10-12

Species E drawn to Figures 13A-13B

Species F drawn to Figures 14A-16

Species G drawn to Figures 17-22

Species H drawn to Figures 23-26

Species I drawn to Figures 27-32

The species are independent or distinct because they show different structural limitations.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3763

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,763

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T*heodoll J. Gligell* Theodore J. Stigell

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT ENAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700